Assessment of Gastrointestinal Parasites of Chicken Slaughtered in Creek Road Market, Port Harcourt
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ABSTRACT

Aim: The study was conducted to determine the gastrointestinal parasites among slaughtered chicken at Yam Zone Market, Creek Road, Port Harcourt, Rivers State, Nigeria.

Study Design: Gastrointestinal tracts of slaughtered chicken were collected weekly from a vendor. Each part of the GIT was emptied into separate vessel containing normal saline.

Place and Duration of Study: This study was carried out in a chicken market in Port Harcourt, Nigeria for a period of 4 months (January-April 2020).

Methodology: A total of 100 chickens (comprising of broilers and layers) of which samples were collected from their gastrointestinal tracts were examined using formol-ether concentration methods for the presence of gastrointestinal parasites.

Results: Eighty-four (84) parts of the gastrointestinal tracts accounted for 14.0% found to be infected with parasites. The gastrointestinal protozoan isolated is Eimeria spp (52.4%) while helminths species found were, Ascaridia galli (47.6%). There were no cestodes and trematodes identified.

Conclusion: Poultry management system needs to be improved with a viable biosecurity control strategy towards healthy production of livestock chickens for human consumption.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Poultry are kept in backyards or commercial production systems in most areas of the world. Compared to a number of other livestock species, fewer social and religious taboos are related to the production, marketing and consumption of poultry products [1]. The poultry industry occupies an important position in the provision of animal protein (meat and egg) to man and generally plays a vital role in the national economy as a revenue provider [2]. Livestock sub sector contributions to Gross Domestic Product (GDP) have decreased from 5.61% in 1960 to about 2.64% in 2010 [3]. The contributions of livestock to Agriculture in 1999 and 2010 remain at 2.64% [3]. Nigerian Agricultural sector is identified with the provision of food and nutrition while poultry production is responsible for 19% of the meat supply [4]. The development of the poultry industry has also been described as the fastest means of bridging the protein deficiency gap prevailing in most of the developing countries.

Gastrointestinal parasites which invade the chickens possess morphological and physiological features such as small thread-like cylindrical bodies, hooks and a hard body cuticle which enhance their adaptation to long living and existence in chickens [5]. The concentration of parasite eggs in the chicken’s environment is one factor which plays a major role in determining the severity of the infection. Heavy gastrointestinal helminthiasis is characterized by retarded growth, emaciation, decreased egg production, mucoid diarrhea, catarrh, loss of appetite, anemia, weakness, paralysis, and death. These are causes of great economic losses [6,7]. The common GIT parasites that infect poultry include helminths, cestodes, nematodes, and protozoans, with mixed infections being widespread [8]. Helminthes and protozoans are the major intestinal parasites that affect poultry farming. Ascaridia galli, Heterakis gallinarum, Capillaria species and Raillietina species are all helminthes. Coccidian is a class of Protozoa that causes a well-known disease as such as Eimeria tenella, E. acervulina, E. necatrix, E. maxima and E. brunetti. These GIT parasites have morphological and physiological features adapted to live longer in their hosts [9]. Ascaridia galli has been incriminated as the most common and most important parasite of poultry [10,8]. The cestodes of significant importance are of the two genera Raillietina and Hymenolepsis [11,8].

Risk factors that contribute to the spread of these parasites into the layer chickens include poultry transports, neighborhood infection, unhygienic practices of farms, and the introduction of infected foreign birds [12].

This study is aimed at assessing the presence of gastrointestinal parasites of commercial layers and broilers in relation to their public health importance.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The study was conducted at Chicken Market at Yam Zone, Creek Road in Port Harcourt city, Rivers State with geographical location of latitude (4°45'30.9312” N) and longitude (7°13’39.828” E). This yam zone is a popular market and the hub of different food businesses in Port Harcourt city (Fig 1).

![Fig 1. Sampling location of poultry birds](image-url)
2.2 Study Design

This study was conducted for a period of four months (January - March 2020) to estimate the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites in poultry. One livestock vendor was used in the Yam zone markets were visited weekly for sample collection.

2.3 Sample Collection

One hundred (100) gastrointestinal tracts of comprising 50 broilers and 50 layer birds were randomly collected from poultry dressing units in Chicken market, Yam Zone. The gastrointestinal tracts were dissected into sections containing respective organs: esophagus, crop, gizzard, small intestine, caecum and rectum and kept separately in Petri dishes containing physiological saline.

2.4 Recovery and Identification of Gastrointestinal Parasites

The alimentary canal of each chicken was opened separated into various parts namely: esophagus, crop, gizzard, small intestines, caecum and cloaca. Each part was opened and contents emptied into separate containers containing physiological saline [5] and Scrapings from the intestinal mucosa from the upper, middle and lower linings of the intestine and caecum were concentrated using the formol-ether concentration technique [13].

2.5 Data Analysis

The data derived from these procedures was entered in Microsoft Excel 2016. Descriptive and Chi square ($\chi^2$) and T- test analysis was carried out using SPSS; version 22.0, Chicago, USA. To determine its significance, P value was set at 0.05 at 95% confidence interval.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 100 birds from chicken market were randomly collected for investigation of intestinal parasites. The slaughtered birds comprise of 50 broilers and 50 layers from Yam zone chicken market, Creek Road, Port Harcourt. The alimentary canals analyzed were esophagus, crop, gizzard, intestine, caeca and cloaca.

The gastrointestinal tract of broilers examined was 51(60.7%) infested with intestinal parasites while that of layers accounted for 33(39.3%) (Table 1). The prevalence of intestinal parasites was found to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$).

In comparison of broilers and layers affected by Ascarida galli using T test, p-value was 0.305 which was not significant while broilers and layers affected by Eimeria spp was found to be significant with p-value of 0.002.

Fourteen percent (14%) of the prevalence for intestinal parasites were attained out of the 600 gastrointestinal tract examined. The caeca having the highest prevalence of 30(39.3%) and least prevalence was observed in the small intestine 8(9.5%) (Fig 2).

The gastrointestinal parasites identified were Eimeria spp and Ascaridia galli. Eimeria spp had prevalence of 44(52.4%) while Ascaridia galli had prevalence of 40(47.6%). Cestodes and trematodes were not identified (Fig 3).

Parasitic diseases are problems wherever poultry are raised, whether in large commercial operations or in small backyard flocks, and economic losses caused by parasites on poultry can be significant [6]. The survey of gastrointestinal parasites identified in this study had a prevalence of 14% of total gastrointestinal tract examined. All the broilers were found to contain GI parasites. The GI parasites implicated were Eimeria spp. (52.4%) and Ascaridia galli (47.6%). Cestodes and trematodes were not identified.

The prevalence of GI infection was found to be statistically significant ($p<0.05$). These findings are in agreement with study by Wokem and Obiyor [14] in Port Harcourt where layers from selected areas were examined, where faecal samples were infected with Ascaridia galli 39(30%), Eimeria species 54(41.5%). High prevalences were observed by [15] and [16] in India and Nigeria where they had 81.03% and 77.0% were positive for Eimeria spp. In Nigeria, overall prevalence of coccidial infection of 40.1% was observed by Agishi, et al. [17] in Markudi. In their investigation on intestinal parasites of Chicken.

On the contrary, in Phillippines, Ilorin and Maiduguri, Nigeria reports of a lower prevalence of 43.2%, 32.83% and 31.8% of Eimeria infection in poultry were recorded [18,19,20]. Also, very lower prevalence of 3.9% and 7.7% of Eimeria spp has be reported by [21,22] among domestic fowl.
Table 1. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites along the chicken gastrointestinal tract

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intestinal parasites</th>
<th>Location of parasites in GI tract</th>
<th>No. of infested GIT of Broilers (%)</th>
<th>No. of infested GIT of Layers (%)</th>
<th>Chi-square ($X^2$)</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ascaridia galli</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>5(22.0)</td>
<td>2(11.7)</td>
<td>30.179</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>2(8.6)</td>
<td>1(6.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gizzard</td>
<td>4(17.4)</td>
<td>1(6.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>2(8.6)</td>
<td>2(11.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>7(30.4)</td>
<td>9(52.9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cloaca</td>
<td>3(13.0)</td>
<td>2(11.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td>23(45.1)</td>
<td>17(51.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eimeria spp</td>
<td>Esophagus</td>
<td>2(7.1)</td>
<td>2(12.5)</td>
<td>12.310</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Crop</td>
<td>2(7.1)</td>
<td>4(25.0)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gizzard</td>
<td>5(17.8)</td>
<td>3(18.7)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small intestine</td>
<td>3(10.7)</td>
<td>1(6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caecum</td>
<td>12(43.0)</td>
<td>5(31.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cloaca</td>
<td>4(14.3)</td>
<td>1(6.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sub-Total</td>
<td>28(54.9)</td>
<td>16(48.5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>51(60.7)</td>
<td>33(39.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 2. Prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites along the gastrointestinal tract

Fig 3. Intestinal parasitic prevalence among the chickens
Coccidial infection due to *Eimeria* spp is suggestive to be endemic in poultry in Nigeria [23,24]. This may be attributed to the fact that *Eimeria* species are ubiquitous anywhere poultry are reared [19].

Enteric diseases are an important concern to the poultry industry because of production losses, increased mortality, reduced welfare of birds and increased risk of contamination of poultry products for human consumption. Avian coccidiosis is an enteric parasitic disease caused by multiple species of the protozoan parasite of the genus *Eimeria*. It is one of the most common and economically most important diseases of poultry worldwide [25,26].

Moreover, poor management practices may be responsible for high parasitic prevalence perceived in study areas elsewhere in the faecal samples in the poultry house hence coccidial oocyst were always present and spread very easily especially when left humid with caked litters as stated by [27].

*Ascaris galli* was the only gastrointestinal helminth recorded with 47.6% prevalence rate in this study. This agrees with previous studies by [28,29,30]. In Gwagwalada, Abuja, prevalence as high as 51.60% was observed by [31]. Lower prevalence of 30.15%, 22.3% and 22.4% was recorded *A. galli* by [19,32,33]. On the contrary, *A. galli* had the highest prevalence rate in both local and exotic breed among the helminths though with very low prevalence 6.0% as reported by Ola-Fadunsin et al. [34] in March. Khan et al. 2016 reported that *A. galli* causes larger chances of death in smaller birds as they belong to the group of Nematodes which constitutes an important class of helminthes parasites of poultry both in a number of species and in the level of damage, they caused [34]. The infection rates of *A. galli* depend on many factors namely, rainfall pattern, soil type, locality and the types of food given to the chickens which vary from place to place [36]. This explains the differences in variation of the prevalence observed by several authors. High infection rate may be due to the poor handling and control efforts in either the animal or in the immediate environment where infection or re-infection may come from [37].

On the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasitic infestation on the birds, broilers had more prevalent than the layers with 60.7% where *Eimeria* spp were implicated for 54.8% of infection. The prevalence of *Eimeria* spp among the exotic breeds were found to be statistically significant (*p*<0.05). The high prevalence rate of *Eimeria* spp recorded in broilers may be associated with the fact that this chicken type is raised intensively and on a deep litter with a high level of water spillage in the litter in most cases [19].

4. CONCLUSION

*Eimeria* spp and *A. galli* are the most common identified gastrointestinal parasitic infections affecting poultry birds in this study. Proper biosecurity intervention methods need to be provided for the reduction of intestinal parasites in poultry farming.
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