Diagnosis of a Suspected Rift Valley Fever Outbreak Using Capture IgM ELISA in the Sudan
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ABSTRACT

During an unusual heavy rainfall season, in October 2007, a serological diagnosis was conducted using Rift Valley Fever (RVF) IgM Enzyme Linked-Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) for detection IgM immunoglobulin in suspected outbreak of hemorrhagic fever in The White Nile, Gezira, Sinnar and The Blue Nile States of the Sudan. A total of 323 blood samples were collected from cattle, sheep.
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and goats and analysed. The overall percentages of IgM antibodies in the three species of animals in the study areas were; 53.6% for caprine, 48.3% for ovine and 21.3% for bovine. Gizera State showed highest morbidity (50%) followed by The White Nile (24.6%) and then Sinnar and Blue Nile states, (21%). Bovine showed low infection whereas caprine morbidity was high followed by the ovine. The overall positive percentage of all animal examined (cattle, sheep and goats) from the Study areas was 35.6% (125/323) Table.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Rift Valley fever (RVF) virus is an arbovirus in the Bunyaviridae family that, from phylogenetic analysis, appears to have first emerged in the mid-19th century and was only identified at the beginning of the 1930s in the Rift Valley region of Kenya [1,2]. The disease is an arthropods borne, viral zoontic that threatens the African continent as well as the rest of the world, [3,1]. It is characterized by storm of abortion in all pregnant animals and high mortality rate in their offspring, [4,5,6]. RVF virus, is a member of the Bunyaviridae family, genus Phlebovirus [7], it is readily transmitted through a wide range of mosquito genera including Aedes, Anopheles, Culex, Eretmapolites and Mansonia, and by other vectors including sand flies [8,7,9].

Currently circulating strains of RVFV are descended from an ancestral species that emerged from a natural reservoir in Africa when large-scale cattle and sheep farming were introduced during the 19th century [10]. Viruses descended from multiple lineages persist in that region, through infection of reservoir animals and vertical transmission in mosquitoes, emerging in years of heavy rainfall to cause epizootics and epidemics. Recently, 95 unique sequences sorted into 15 lineages were reported by [11].

Studies have illustrated the ability of RVFV to utilize the dominant mosquito species of a given geographical location [7,9,12,13], which indicates that there is no natural blockade to protect RVF- naive countries from the spread of the virus.

Human acquires infection by RVFV from infected animal tissues or mosquito bites [14]. A wide range of symptoms, from fever to fatal encephalitis, retinitis and hepatitis associated with hemorrhages, [15]. Infection in humans usually causes a self-limiting, acute and febrile illness; however, a small number of cases progress to neurological disorders, partial or complete blindness, hemorrhagic fever, or thrombosis [16].

The most important epidemics/epizootics occurred after periods of unusual heavy rainfall, flooding or construction of dams, that flourishes the mosquito population [17,14]. However, on a number of occasions, viruses from these lineages have been transported outside the enzootic region through the movement of infected animals or mosquitoes, triggering outbreaks in countries such as Egypt, Saudi Arabia, Mauritania and Madagascar, where RVF had not previously been seen [10].

RVF was first identified in 1931 by Daubney et al, during an investigation of epizootic among sheep on a farm near Naivasha in the Rift Valley of Kenya. The authors isolated the causative viral agent by inoculating lambs with the serum from a moribund sheep, (cited by 13). Initially, the virus was restricted to the eastern region of Africa, but the disease has now spread to southern and western Africa, as well as outside of the African continent, e.g., Madagascar, Saudi Arabia and Yemen. There is a serious concern that the virus may spread to other areas, such as North America and Europe [2].

Since then; many outbreaks were reported in sub-Saharan and North Africa [18]. During 1997-1998, a major outbreak occurred in Kenya, Somalia and Tanzania, [19,18]. In September 2000, two simultaneous epizootics were confirmed in Saudi Arabia and Yemen, marking the first occurrence of the disease outside the African continent [20].

In the Sudan, an outbreak of RVF was first reported in the year 1973 in Kosti region in The White Nile State and some areas of The Blue Nile State [4,5] and later in the year 1980 [6] and large numbers of domestic ruminants were infected. In the year1976, the disease occurred in Khartoum State at Hillat Kuku farm, Khartoum North [21]. These States are agricultural areas and the climatic event resulted in unusual growth
of vegetation. It also favored the high densities of mosquitoes and other biting flies, (water reserves and dams). Animal presence in the area of study is an essential element in disease occurrence.

This investigation was carried out during an outbreak of faetal hemorrhagic disease in cattle, sheep and goats in Central Sudan (The White Nile, Gezira, Sinnar and The Blue Nile States) using RVF IgM ELISA test as one of the powerful serological techniques to detect presence of RVF IgM antibodies in sheep, goats and cattle.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Study Area

The outbreak occurred at Central Sudan and particularly in The White Nile, Gezira, Sinnar and The Blue Nile States (Fig. 1) during heavy rainy season from Jun to October, 2007. These areas where were among the flooded parts of the country. The outbreak was predicted in June, 2007 using satellite measurements, of sea surface temperature, vegetation index and elevated rainfall data, [3].

2.2 Samples Examined

Blood sample were collected from bovine, ovine and caprine using 10 ml plain vacutainer and sterile needles (19 G; for each animal). The collected blood was allowed to clot for up to 24 hours in the shade; sera were transferred into labeled cryovials with identified flock numbers and the designated geographic locality in the study area. The cryovials were kept in deep freezer at -20°C and transferred to the laboratory in cooled containers with ice bags.

2.3 RVF ELISA Kit

Commercial IgM ELISA kits for detection of RVF IgM antibodies were purchased from the National Institute of Communicable Diseases (NICD), Johannesburg, South Africa.

Fig. 1. Illustrates the study area of the Sudan (The White Nile, Gizera, The Blue Nile and Sinnar States).
2.4 Test Procedures

The RVF IgM ELISA was conducted according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Plates were coated with rabbit anti–sheep IgM diluted at 1: 500 in PBS, covered with lid, incubated at 4°C overnight. They were washed three times for 15 seconds using 300 µl washing buffer (0.1% Tween 20 in PBS). A volume of 200 µl per well blocking buffer (10% skim milk in PBS) was added and the plates were incubated for one hour in moist chamber at 37°C. Thereafter, they were washed as above. A volume of 100 µl test and control sera diluted at 1:400 in diluent buffer (2% skim milk in PBS) were added to the wells and then incubated. One hour later, the plates were washed as above. A volume of 100 µl RVFV Ag and control Ag were diluted at 1:400 and added to rows A-D, 1-12, rows E-H, 1-12 respectively. They were incubated for one hour then plates were washed three times. Hundred µl of mouse anti RVFV serum was diluted 1:500 and added to each well. They were incubated for one hour and then, the plates were washed three times. A volume of 100 µl ABTS substrate was then added and the plates were kept in dark room for thirty minutes at room temperature (25°C). Finally, 100 µl of 1% sodium dodecyl sulphate solution (SDS) was used as stop solution. Optical densities (OD) were read at 405 nm filter.

3. RESULTS

The results showed that 10 (23%) bovine, 38(51%) ovine and 63 (61%) caprine were positive to recent infection of RVF in Gezira State. However, 5 (17%) bovine, 6 (50%) ovine and 4 (18%) caprine were positive to recent infection of RVF in The White Nile State. In Sinnar and The Blue Nile States no caprine sample were tested , but 7 (22%) bovine and 1 (14%) ovine, were positive (Table 1; Fig. 2). In addition, the overall positive percentage of infected cattle, sheep and goats from the four states collectively was 35.6% (125/323) Table 2.

4. DISCUSSION

The increased demand for rapid diagnostic assays worldwide aims to ensure the protection of human and livestock to facilitate the free circulation of animals and animal's products for International trades. ELISA is a more sensitive test for the detection of border line levels of antibody to RVF [22].

Table 1. Results of serum samples of caprine, ovine and bovine tested by RVF IgM Captured ELISA from The White Nile, Gezira, Sinnar and The Blue Nile States of the Sudan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Animal species</th>
<th>Total tested</th>
<th>Total No.+ve</th>
<th>PP* Sample tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caprine</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>PP* sample</td>
<td>+ve</td>
<td>PP* sample</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>sample tested</td>
<td>tested</td>
<td>sample tested</td>
<td>tested</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gezira</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>51%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
<td>23%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>220</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The White Nile.</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinnar and The Blue Nile.</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>103</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>125</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>323</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PP: positive percentage for Elisa test.

Table 2. The overall positive percentage of IgM antibodies detected in sera of all animal examined (bovine, ovine and caprine) from The White Nile, Gezira, Sinnar and The Blue Nile States of the Sudan.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anim. spp</th>
<th>Total No. Tested</th>
<th>Total No.+ve</th>
<th>PP*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cattle</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>+7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sheep</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goats</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>+77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Positive</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>35.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Rift Valley Fever was previously reported in the Sudan by serological tests that indicated the presence of IgG antibodies to RVFV in animals and human sera, [23,21,4,24,25,26]. Data collected by the aforementioned authors, indicated that RVF was prevalent in subclinical form. The lack or the poor reporting system used for detection of clinical cases did not reflect the real situation in the country. In addition, the indigenous animals might not show the classical clinical signs due to innate resistance, [27]. Therefore, the virus activity may only be detected by occasional human disease or by random isolation from mosquitoes, [27]. Central Sudan was formerly hit by RVF outbreak in 1973 [21].

During this investigation small ruminants were worst affected compared to cattle, and goats were severely affected compared to sheep. On the contrary, this result is completely different from the year 2003 outbreak in Khartoum and River Nile States, where cattle were badly affected. This might be due to the high foreign blood cattle reared in the two States, as well as, the small population of small ruminants in them.

Since no RVF vaccination campaign was launched before in Central Sudan, the presence of IgM antibodies is indicative for active and circulating virus in the investigated area. It is worth to mention that, no virus isolation was carried out and (as recommended by the OIE). Moreover, the classical methods for the detection of antibodies to RVFV included Haemagglutination-inhibition, Complement Fixation, Indirect Immuno-fluorescence and Virus Neutralization tests [28]. Disadvantages of these techniques include health risk to laboratory personnel [29] and restriction of their use outside RVF endemic areas [30]. Although, the virus neutralization test is regarded as a gold standard, the test is laborious, expensive and requires 5-7 days for completion. It can be performed only in specialized reference laboratories where standardized stock of live virus and tissue culture are available. [22].

The feasibility of the IgM ELISA to detect antibody to RVF has been reported [22,28,31]. The test was recommended for diagnosis of RVF.

![Figure 2: Number and Positive percentage of cattle, sheep and goats tested in the study Stats.](image-url)
It is worth to mention that RVF surveillance, disasters, outbreak investigations management, delivery of healthcare; systems-related approaches require flexible approach. In the past, the Ministry of Health, the Ministry of Agriculture and Animal Resources, other government organizations, academic institutions and NGOs had separate roles with little overlap. Despite limited resources, the concept of One Health approach is acceptable approach that intended to develop collaborative leaders committed to improving health equity and social justice by addressing health disparities that impact on efficiency by promoting shared resources and collaboration among those working at the animal (wildlife, livestock and companion animals), ecosystem and human health interface [33].

5. CONCLUSION

This investigation showed that the overall percentages positivity of IgM antibodies in the three animal species examined in the study areas were; (53.6%) for caprine, (48.3%) for ovine and (21.3%) for bovine. Gizera State showed highest morbidity, (50%) rate compared to The White Nile (24.6%) and Sinnar and Blue Nile States, (21%). Presence of IgM antibodies is indicative of active and circulating virus in study area, but no virus isolation was conducted.

It can be concluded that IgM ELISA was recommended before by other investigators and was tested in the present investigation. It proved to be sensitive, specific, cheap and easy to be performed. It can be used for diagnosis of recent acute infection of RVF worldwide and for the monitoring of immune response in vaccinated animals as required by the OIE guide lines. It is highly accurate, safe test and has the potentiality to replace conventional diagnostic techniques which pose biohazard risks that limit their use outside endemic areas.
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